

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION N	O. I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/049,696		03/27/1998	PATRICIA A. BILLING-MEDEL	6067.US.O1	5914
23492	7590	05/06/2004		EXAMINER	
	F. WEINS		MARTINELL, JAMES		
	DTT PARK		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DEPT. 37		60064 6000	1631	31	
ABBOTT PARK, IL 60064-6008				DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
`, 		09/049,696	BILLING-MEDEL ET AL.				
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		James Martinell	1631				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication						
Period for Reply							
THE - External after - If the control of the contro	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>G</u>	03 March 2003.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 33-38 and 40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 40 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 33-38 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	on Papers						
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.					
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 March 2003</u> is/are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE r No(s)/Mail Date 4 & 33.	) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 09/049,696

Art Unit: 1631

The request to correct the inventorship (filed February 21, 2003) of this nonprovisional application under 37 CFR 1.48(a) is deficient. The request is unnecessary because the "Corrected Declaration and Power of Attorney for United States Patent Application" filed May 15, 2000 did not result in the addition of Mark Hayden as and inventor. The declaration filed May 15, 2000 was not accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR § 1.48. Thus, the inventive entity never included mark Hayden.

The indicated allowability of claim33-38 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Au-Young et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,500,938) and Yu et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,733,748). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the

Application/Control Number: 09/049,696

Art Unit: 1631

examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 33, 34, 37, and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by either one of Au-Young et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,500,938) or Yu et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,733,748). The references disclose nucleic acids that contain 100% of the following SEQ ID NOs of the instant application:

- (a) SEQ ID NO: 1 (compare to Au-Young et al SEQ ID NO: 290, nucleotides 10-232),
- (b) SEQ ID NO: 8 (compare to Au-Young et al SEQ ID NO: 850, nucleotides 1-253),
- (c) SEQ ID NO: 9 (compare to Au-Young et al SEQ ID NO: 850, nucleotides 116-333),
- (d) SEQ ID NO: 10 (compare to Au-Young et al SEQ ID NO: 850, nucleotides 234-462),
- (e) SEQ ID NO: 11 (compare to Au-Young et al SEQ ID NO: 850, nucleotides 345-535),
- (f) SEQ ID NO: 12 (compare to Au-Young et al SEQ ID NO: 850, nucleotides 522-756,
- (g) SEQ ID NO: 17 (compare to Au-Young et al SEQ ID NO: 850, nucleotides 1407-1512), and
- (h) SEQ ID NO: 14 (compare to Yu et al SEQ ID NO: 8, nucleotides 306-553).

The instant claims are not entitled to the effective filing date of parent application Serial No. 08/829,754 because not all of the SEQ ID NOs in the claims has basis in Serial No. 08/829,754.

Claims 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either one of Au-Young et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,500,938) or Yu et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,733,748) in view of applicants' admitted state of the prior art (instant application, pages 35-40). The discussion of each of the prior art references in the previous rejection is incorporated here. Applicants acknowledge the expression of DNAs in host cells via the use of expression vectors and recombinant host cells (instant application at pages 35-

Application/Control Number: 09/049,696

Art Unit: 1631

40) to be old. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to express any one of the DNAs disclosed in either one of the primary references by the

admittedly old methods in order to produce large amounts of polypeptides.

Claim 40 is allowable over the prior art of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to James Martinell whose telephone number is (571) 272-0719. The fax phone number for

Examiner Martinell's desktop workstation is (571) 273-0719. The examiner works a flexible schedule and

can be reached by phone and voice mail. Alternatively, a request for a return telephone call may be e-

mailed to james.martinell@uspto.gov. Since e-mail communications may not be secure, it is suggested

that information in such requests be limited to name, phone number, and the best time to return the call.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Michael Woodward, can be reached on (571) 272-0722.

PLEASE NOTE THE NEW FAX NUMBER

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

(703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should

be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

James Martinell, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Page 4

Art Unit 1631